Delegated procedure for considering exam misconduct (where the case could be delegated)

Extract from the Examination and Assessment Regulations 2024/25

(Academic Misconduct)

9-12 Misconduct in examinations

Misconduct in examinations held on campus includes having, or attempting to gain access, during an examination, to any books, memoranda, notes (including notes on paper or transcribed on the student's skin), unauthorised calculators, phones, watches or other internet enabled devices or any other material, except such as may have been supplied by the invigilator or authorised by official university bodies. Having these items on the student's person in the exam room after the start of the exam is a breach of examination room protocols and as such misconduct, regardless of whether or not they are accessed or are relevant to the examination. Misconduct also includes aiding or attempting to aid another student or obtaining or attempting to obtain aid from another student, or any other communication within the examination room.

Misconduct in exams taken remotely includes using the following in the completion of the submitted exam answer paper, except where these have been authorised as part of the assessment task: text or ideas taken from the internet or other sources, unauthorised calculators, material provided by someone else including another student or an essay writing service. Misconduct in an exam taken remotely also includes sharing material with, or otherwise helping, another student prior to them submitting their answer paper.

Exam misconduct in exams held on campus or remotely also includes cases where the exam question paper or model answers have been obtained and/or shared in advance of the exam, except where such material has been provided as part of the assessment task.

The University takes misconduct in examination extremely seriously and any concerns raised will result in an investigation of potential major academic misconduct.

54. Procedure for consideration of misconduct in examination

Any instance of misconduct in an examination held on campus or remotely will be considered as major misconduct. For exams held on campus, students must place mobile phones, watches or other valuable items on the floor in front of the student's desk. Where a concern has been raised

- 1. Academic Misconduct team (AM) ensure that the evidence file is prepared. The standard forms and letters are completed and sent.
- 2. Academic Misconduct invite the student to submit a statement for consideration (but the student would not be required to do so). The student will not be invited to attend a Panel where AM confirm that the case can be delegated.
- 3. The Misconduct Panel Secretary reviews the evidence file, including the Invigilator Report and/or Cover Sheet, and confirms whether or not there is a conclusive case, based on the evidence. A check list is provided to support the process ('Delegated exams checklist for on campus exams' at **Appendix 1** or 'Delegated exams checklist for exams taken remotely' at **Appendix 2**). The decision is made under the delegated authority of a designated Academic Misconduct Panel Chair. (Delegation is appropriate as no academic judgement is required and once a case is con coeoc-3 (io)-6.5 (ih3 (I)-11.3 c)8.9 (ory)6.3 (2.2 (/)-6.3 (oar2.2 (c) p3 (I)0.9 (n2.2 (/)al-3 (a)y ated5.3 ()10.62(h)16.1 (ea.7 (s)13.6 (o)1.7 (.20 Tw 12.6 0.009217 -1 0o)-3.6 002 Tc 0217 004 TcEMC /P AMCID

Appendix 1: Delegated exams checklist to consider a case of exam misconduct for an exam taken on campus

Consideration	Confirm y/n	Comment	Complete
Academic Misconduct to			
confirm if case can be			
delegated (i.e. student not			
previously considered by Panel)			
Is the Invigilator Report			
complete? (events set out;			
communication/announcement			
made; student informed of			
misconduct)			
Has the student signed the			
declaration re unauthorised			
materials?			
Do the events stated in the			
student statement broadly			
correlate with the Invigilator			
Report?			
Is the case conclusive?			
Y: report case outcomes to			
Chair, write report and send to			
AM for student and PAB.			
N: Refer to Chair. Can Chair			
confirm outcome?			
Y: Write report and send to AM			
for student and PAB.			
N: Chair to refer to Panel.			

Appendix 2: Delegated exams checklist to consider a case of exam misconduct for an exam taken remotely

Consideration

Confirm y/n